

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/804,612	03/12/2001	M. Ibrahim Sezan	KLR 7146.115	3154
7590 02/11/2004		EXAMINER		
Kevin L. Russell			CHUONG, TRUC T	
Suite 1600	I A -		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
601 SW Second Ave. Portland, OR 97204-3157			2174	1 AT EX NOMBER

DATE MAILED: 02/11/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

'	•					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/804,612	SEZAN ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Truc T Chuong	2174				
The MAILING DATE of this communicate Period for Reply	ion appears on the cover sheet w	with the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA* - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communica* - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) dat* - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutor Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, I Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TION. CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a strong. ys, a reply within the statutory minimum of the y period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC by statute, cause the application to become a	a reply be timely filed sirty (30) days will be considered timely. DNTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	n [']					
,— · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims		·				
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the applitude 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are were 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction. Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Experimental contents and the application is objected to by the Experimental contents are subjected to by the Experimental contents above the application is objected to by the Experimental contents are subjected to be subjected to by the Experimental contents are subjected to be s	rithdrawn from consideration. and/or election requirement.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)		by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection	to the drawing(s) be held in abeya	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by	·					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fall b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority doc 2. Certified copies of the priority doc 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International * See the attached detailed Office action for	uments have been received. uments have been received in ne priority documents have bee Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stage				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-93) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 				

Art Unit: 2174

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

2. Claim 12 recites the limitation "the genre of" in line 2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 4. Claim 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Gagnon et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,522,342 B1).

As to claim 1, Gagnon teaches a method of using a system with at least one of audio, image, and a video comprising a plurality of frames comprising the steps of:

- (a) providing at least two of the following:
- (i) a program description scheme containing information related to at least one of information regarding interrelationships between a plurality of said frames,

Art Unit: 2174

characteristics of the content of a plurality of said frames, characteristics of the content of said audio, characteristics of the content of said image, characteristics of the content of said video (relationship among the terms of interest, col. 4 line 25-col. 5 line 67, pictures, col. 9 lines 39-62, col. 13 lines 55-65, and fig. 5);

- (ii) a user description scheme containing information related to at least one of a user's personal preferences, information related to said user, a user's viewing history, and a user's listening history (the owner/creator and session identifier, col. 34 lines 6-67);
- (iii) a system description scheme containing information regarding at least one of available videos, available categories, available channels, available users, available images, capabilities of a device for providing said at least one of said audio, said image, and said video to a-user, relationship between at least two of said video, said program description scheme, and said user description scheme, relationship between at least two of said audio, said program description scheme, and said user description scheme, relationship between at least two of said image, said program description scheme, and said user description scheme, and said user description scheme (audio/video, col. 26 lines 23-63, and figs. 5, 7, 9, and 12); and
- (b) selecting at least one of a video, an image, and audio based upon said at least two of said program description scheme, said user description scheme, and said system description scheme (col. 13 line 55-col. 14 line 67, and fig. 5).

As to claim 2, Gagnon teaches the method of claim 1wherein said program description scheme contains information related to said interrelationships of said plurality of said frames

Art Unit: 2174

(relationship among the terms of interest, col. 4 line 25-col. 5 line 67, pictures, col. 9 lines 39-62, col. 13 lines 55-65, and fig. 5).

As to claim 3, Gagnon teaches the method of claim 2 wherein said interrelationships include the identification of key frames of said video (SDP, col. 34 lines 6-67).

As to claim 4, Gagnon teaches the method of claim 2 wherein said interrelationships include the identification of a plurality of said frames representative of the highlights of at least a portion of said video (col. 13 lines 30-67, col. 26 lines 20-64, and fig. 5).

As to claim 5, Gagnon teaches the method of claim 2 wherein said interrelationships include the identification of a set of frames, each of which is representative of a different portion of said video (col. 13 lines 30-67, col. 26 lines 20-64, and fig. 5).

As to claim 6, Gagnon teaches the method of claim 5 wherein said different portion of said video is non-overlapping (fig. 5).

As to claim 7, Gagnon teaches the method of claim 2 wherein said interrelationships include the identification of a plurality of sequential frames of said video that represent at least one of a shot and a scene (col. 11 lines 40-67, and col. 12 lines 1-25).

As to claim 8, Gagnon teaches the method of claim 7 wherein said identification further includes a plurality of said at least one of said shot and said scene (col. 11 lines 40-67, and col. 12 lines 1-25, fig. 5).

As to claim 9, Gagnon teaches the method of claim 4 wherein said interrelationships includes a plurality of highlights of the same portion of said video having different durations (col. 11 lines 40-67, and col. 12 lines 1-25, fig. 5).

Art Unit: 2174

As to claim 10, Gagnon teaches the method of claim 3 wherein said interrelationships includes a plurality of key frames of the same portion of said video having a different number of frames of said portion of said video (col. 23 line 36-col. 24 line 44, and fig. 2A).

As to claim 11, Gagnon teaches the method of claim 1 wherein said program description scheme contains characteristics of said content of said plurality of said frames (Webcast, col. 37 line 30-col. 38 line 61).

As to claim 12, Gagnon teaches the method of claim 11 wherein said characteristics include at least one of an actor within said video, and a classification of the genre of said video (title, col. 10 lines 50-67).

As to claim 13, Gagnon teaches the method of claim 11 wherein said characteristics include at least one of a color profile of at least a portion of said video, a texture profile of at least a portion of said video, and a motion profile of at least a portion of said video, and a motion profile of at least a portion of said video (relationship among the terms of interest, col. 4 line 25-col. 5 line 67, pictures, col. 9 lines 39-62, col. 13 lines 55-65, and fig. 5).

As to claim 14, Gagnon teaches the method of claim 1 wherein said program description scheme identifies a portion of each of a plurality of said frames of said video that is to be presented to a user at a size larger than it would have been presented within said video (selected to launch, col. 11 lines 1-30).

As to claim 15, Gagnon teaches the method of claim 1 wherein said program description scheme identifies a second video segment separate from said video that includes a close up view of a portion of said video (col. 11 lines 1-30, and fig. 2A).

Art Unit: 2174

As to claim 16, Gagnon teaches the method of claim 1 wherein said program description scheme identifies a second audio track separate from the normal audio track of said video (col. 20 lines 17-31).

As to claim 17, Gagnon teaches the method of claim 1 wherein said program description scheme includes textual annotation related to said video (The messages function provides textual promotional and status information related to the video, col. 10 line 50-col. 11 line 30).

As to claim 18, Gagnon teaches the method of claim 17 wherein said textual annotation is related to an object within said video (col. 10 line 50-col. 11 line 30).

As to claim 19, Gagnon teaches the method of claim 18 wherein said object is an actor within said video (figs. 2A and 5).

As to claim 20, Gagnon teaches the method of claim 1 wherein said program description scheme identifies Internet based information related to said video (col. 37 line 40-col. 38 line 5, and figs. 9, 11-12).

Conclusion

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Klingler et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,404,316) teach frames, sequences, audio/video, user's profile, edit, GUI, interrelationships, tracks, and categories (cols. 4-18 and figs. 2-17).

Art Unit: 2174

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Truc T Chuong whose telephone number is 703-305-5753. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th and alternate Fridays 8:30 AM - 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kristine L. Kincaid can be reached on 703-308-0640. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Truc T. Chuong

02/03/04

Page 7